

ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY  
Problem Sheet 5 – Solutions

**Question 1.** Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  be a projective algebraic set in which all components have dimension  $n - 1$ . Prove that  $V$  is a hypersurface.

We may assume that  $V$  is irreducible. (This is because a finite union of hypersurfaces is still a hypersurface, as you can take the product of the defining polynomials.)

Since  $\dim V \neq n$ ,  $V \neq \mathbb{P}^n$ . So we can choose a non-zero homogeneous polynomial  $f$  which vanishes on  $V$ . Let the irreducible factors of  $f$  be  $f_1, \dots, f_m$ . Now

$$V = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \{x \in V : f_i(x) = 0\}$$

so the irreducibility of  $V$  forces one of the  $f_i$  to vanish on  $V$ .

Let  $W$  be the hypersurface defined by  $f_i$ . Then  $\dim W = n - 1 = \dim V$  because  $W$  is a hypersurface and so  $\dim W = \dim V$ . Furthermore  $W$  is irreducible because  $f_i$  is irreducible, and  $V$  is a closed subset of  $W$ . Hence by Lemma 21.2,  $W = V$ .

**Question 2.** Let  $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  be a hyperplane. Let  $V \subseteq H$  be an irreducible projective algebraic set (note:  $V \subseteq H$ , rather than  $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ ).

Let  $x$  be a point of  $\mathbb{P}^n \setminus H$ . Let  $C$  be the union of all lines joining  $V$  to  $x$  (this is called the **cone** over  $V$  with vertex  $x$ ).

Prove that  $C$  is a projective algebraic set, that it is irreducible and that  $\dim C = \dim V + 1$ .

Make a linear change of coordinates so that  $H$  is the hyperplane  $X_0 = 0$  and  $x$  is the point  $[1 : 0 : \dots : 0]$ . (In other words,  $H$  is the hyperplane at infinity for the standard embedding  $\mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ , while  $x$  is the origin.)

For any point  $y = [0 : y_1 : \dots : y_n] \in H$ , the line  $L_y$  joining  $y$  to  $x$  is

$$\{[a : by_1 : \dots : by_n] : a, b \in k, \text{ not both zero}\}.$$

We can write this as

$$L_y = \{[z_0 : \dots : z_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n : [z_1 : \dots : z_n] = [0 : \dots : 0] \text{ or } [y_1 : \dots : y_n]\}.$$

Thus

$$C = \{[z_0 : \dots : z_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n : [z_1 : \dots : z_n] = [0 : \dots : 0] \text{ or } [z_1 : \dots : z_n] \in V\}.$$

Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be homogeneous polynomials defining  $V$  (together with the equation  $X_0 = 0$ ). Then  $C$  is the zero set of  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  – observe that  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  are non-constant homogeneous polynomials, so they do indeed vanish at  $(0, \dots, 0)$ .

Now we show that  $C$  is irreducible. If  $C = S \cup T$  where  $S$  and  $T$  are closed subsets, then the irreducibility of the line  $L_y$  implies that for each  $y \in V$  we have either  $L_y \subseteq S$  or  $L_y \subseteq T$ . The sets

$$\{y \in V : L_y \subseteq S\}, \quad \{y \in V : L_y \subseteq T\}$$

are closed subsets of  $V$  (by similar reasoning to sheet 1, question 10). So the irreducibility of  $V$  forces one of these sets to be all of  $V$ , and hence  $C = S$  or  $C = T$ . Thus  $C$  is irreducible.

Finally we calculate  $\dim C$ .

We know that  $V = C \cap H$  so we will apply Proposition 20.4 from lectures. Since  $x \in C$  and  $x \notin H$ , we conclude that  $C \not\subseteq H$ . Since  $C$  is irreducible, this tells us that  $H$  does not contain any irreducible component of  $C$ . Therefore by Proposition 20.4,  $\dim V = \dim(C \cap H) = \dim C - 1$ .

**Question 3.** Let  $V_{n,d}$  denote the vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $d$  in  $k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  and let  $P_{n,d}$  denote the projective space attached to  $V_{n,d}$

**Sub-question 3(a).** Show that, for each  $e$  such that  $0 \leq e \leq d$ , multiplication of polynomials  $V_{n,e} \times V_{n,d-e} \rightarrow V_{n,d}$  induces a regular map

$$\mu_{n,d,e}: P_{n,e} \times P_{n,d-e} \rightarrow P_{n,d}.$$

If  $f, g \in k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  are homogeneous polynomials of degrees  $e$  and  $d - e$  respectively, then  $fg$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$ . Each coefficient of  $fg$  is given by a bihomogeneous polynomial of degree  $(1, 1)$  in the coefficients of  $f$  and  $g$ . Hence  $([f], [g]) \mapsto [fg]$  is a regular map of projective algebraic sets  $P_{n,e} \times P_{n,d-e} \rightarrow P_{n,d}$ .

**Sub-question 3(b).** By applying completeness to  $\mu_{n,d,e}$ , show that the set

$$P_{n,d,\text{irr}} = \{[f] \in P_{n,d} : f \text{ is irreducible}\}$$

is an open subset of  $P_{n,d}$ .

A polynomial  $f \in V_{n,d}$  is *reducible* if and only if it can be written as a product of two polynomials of smaller degree, i.e. if and only if  $[f]$  is in the image of  $\mu_{n,d,e}: P_{n,e} \times P_{n,d-e} \rightarrow P_{n,d}$  for some  $e$  such that  $1 \leq e \leq d - 1$ . Thus

$$P_{n,d,\text{irr}} = P_{n,d} \setminus \bigcup_{e=1}^{d-1} \text{im } \mu_{n,d,e}.$$

Because  $P_{n,e} \times P_{n,d-e}$  is a projective variety, completeness tells us that  $\text{im } \mu_{n,d,e}$  is a closed subset of  $P_{n,d}$  for each  $e$ . Hence the finite union  $\bigcup_{e=1}^{d-1} \text{im } \mu_{n,d,e}$  is also a closed subset of  $P_{n,d}$ , and therefore its complement is an open subset.

**Sub-question 3(c).** Let  $n \geq 2$ . Show that  $P_{n,d,\text{irr}}$  is non-empty. (Easy approach: write down an irreducible homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$ . Harder approach, making use of dimension: Calculate the dimension of  $P_{n,e} \times P_{n,d-e}$  for each  $e$  and compare with  $\dim P_{n,d}$ . What goes wrong when  $n = 1$  and  $d \geq 2$ ?)

Easy approach: the polynomial  $f = X_0 X_1^{d-1} - X_2^d$  is homogeneous of degree  $d$  and irreducible. (It is irreducible because it has degree 1 in  $X_0$ , so any factor must divide both coefficients if we consider  $f$  as a polynomial in  $X_0$  i.e. the factor must divide  $X_1^{d-1}$  and  $X_2^d$  but these have no common factor.) This fails when  $n = 1$  because then we only have the variables  $X_0$  and  $X_1$  in our polynomial ring, but this example requires three variables.

Note that when  $n = 1$  and  $d \geq 2$  there are actually no irreducible polynomials: given a homogeneous polynomial  $f \in V_{1,d}$ , we can substitute in  $X_0 = 1$  to get a one-variable polynomial  $f(1, X_1)$ . Either every term of  $f$  is divisible by  $X_0$ , in which case  $f$  is reducible, or else  $f(1, X_1)$  still has degree  $d$ . In the second case, because  $k$  is algebraically closed,  $f(1, X_1)$  has a root  $a$  and so  $f(1, X_1)$  has a factor  $X_1 - a$ . Then  $f(X_0, X_1)$  has the factor  $X_1 - aX_0$  (which is a non-trivial factor when  $d \geq 2$ ).

Harder approach: we have

$$\dim P_{n,d} = \dim V_{n,d} - 1 = \binom{n+d}{n} - 1$$

and

$$\dim(P_{n,e} \times P_{n,d-e}) = \binom{n+e}{n} + \binom{n+d-e}{n} - 2.$$

I think the easiest way to compare these is combinatorially:  $\binom{n+d}{n}$  counts the number of ways to choose  $n$  elements from a set of size  $n+d$ . Let's label the elements of our set of size  $n+d$  as  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_e, c_1, \dots, c_{d-e}$ .

Now  $\binom{n+e}{n}$  counts the ways to choose  $n$  elements from  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_e\}$  while  $\binom{n+d-e}{n}$  counts the ways to choose  $n$  elements from  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n, c_1, \dots, c_{d-e}\}$ . Combining these two together, we count  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  twice but that is the only thing counted twice. Hence  $\binom{n+e}{n} + \binom{n+d-e}{n} - 1$  counts the ways to choose  $n$  elements from  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_e, c_1, \dots, c_{d-e}\}$ , subject to the condition that we can choose only  $b$ s or  $c$ s but not both (while we can choose as many  $a$ s as we want). When  $n \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq e \leq d-1$ , this condition gives fewer options than just choosing  $n$  elements from  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_e, c_1, \dots, c_{d-e}$  (for example, we cannot choose  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, b_1, c_1\}$ ). Therefore

$$\binom{n+e}{n} + \binom{n+d-e}{n} - 1 < \binom{n+d}{n}$$

and so  $\dim(P_{n,e} \times P_{n,d-e}) < \dim P_{n,d}$ .

This implies that  $\dim \operatorname{im} \mu_{n,d,e} < \dim P_{n,d}$  and therefore  $\operatorname{im} \mu_{n,d,e}$  is a proper closed subset of  $P_{n,d}$ . Because  $P_{n,d}$  is irreducible (it is isomorphic to a projective space), we deduce that

$$P_{n,d} \neq \bigcup_{e=1}^{d-1} \operatorname{im} \mu_{n,d,e}$$

and so  $P_{n,d,\text{irr}}$  is non-empty.

When  $n = 1$  this argument goes wrong because if we have to choose one element from  $\{a_1, b_1, \dots, b_d, c_1, \dots, c_{d-e}\}$ , it will not be possible to choose both  $b$ s and  $c$ s because we have just one choice.

**Sub-question 3(d).** *By considering maps of the form*

$$P_{n,a} \times P_{n,b} \rightarrow P_{n,d} : ([f], [g]) \mapsto [f^r g]$$

for all triples  $a, b, r$  such that  $ar + b = d$  and  $r \geq 2$ , show that the set

$$P_{n,d,\text{rad}} = \{[f] \in P_{n,d} : f \text{ generates a radical ideal}\}$$

is an open subset of  $P_{n,d}$ .

If  $ar + b = d$ , then by similar reasoning to part (a), the map  $\nu_{n,r,a,b}: P_{n,a} \times P_{n,b} \rightarrow P_{n,d}$  given by  $([f], [g]) \mapsto [f^r g]$  is a regular map  $P_{n,a} \times P_{n,b} \rightarrow P_{n,d}$ . (If  $f, g$  are homogeneous polynomials of degrees  $a$  and  $b$  respectively, then  $f^r g$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$ , and the coefficients of  $f^r g$  are bihomogeneous polynomials of degree  $(r, 1)$  in the coefficients of  $f$  and  $g$ .) Hence by completeness, the image of  $\nu_{n,r,a,b}$  is a closed subset of  $P_{n,d}$ .

Now  $f \in V_{n,d}$  generates a radical ideal if and only if  $[f]$  is not in the image of any  $\nu_{n,r,a,b}$  (for  $ar + b = d$  and  $r \geq 2$ ). To prove this: if  $[h]$  is in the image of  $\nu_{n,r,a,b}$  for some  $r \geq 2$ , then  $h = f^r g$  and so  $fg \in \text{rad}(h)$  but  $fg \notin (h)$ . Conversely, if  $h$  generates a radical ideal, then the irreducible factorisation of  $h$  must be  $h_1 \cdots h_m$  where the factors are distinct, and so  $h$  is not divisible by  $f^r$  for any non-constant polynomial  $f$  and any  $r \geq 2$ .

Thus

$$P_{n,d,\text{rad}} = P_{n,d} \setminus \bigcup_{\substack{ar+b=d \\ r \geq 2}} \text{im } \nu_{n,r,a,b}.$$

Thus  $P_{n,d,\text{rad}}$  is the complement to a finite union of closed sets, so it is an open set in  $P_{n,d}$ .

Note that  $P_{n,d,\text{irr}} \subseteq P_{n,d,\text{rad}}$  so part (c) implies that  $P_{n,d,\text{rad}}$  is non-empty.

**Sub-question 3(e).** Give an example (for some  $n, d$ ) of two polynomials  $f, g$  such that  $[f], [g] \in P_{n,d} \setminus P_{n,d,\text{rad}}$  and  $f$  and  $g$  define the same hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , but  $f$  is not a scalar multiple of  $g$ .

(Note: it follows from the Nullstellensatz that, if  $[f], [g] \in P_{n,d,\text{rad}}$  and  $f$  and  $g$  define the same hypersurface, then  $f$  must be a scalar multiple of  $g$ .)

Pick two coprime homogeneous polynomials  $u, v$  of the same degree  $e$ . Let  $f = u^2 v$  and  $g = uv^2$ . Thus  $f, g \in V_{n,3e}$ . Now  $\text{rad}(f) = (uv) = \text{rad}(g)$  so  $f$  and  $g$  define the same hypersurface.

**Question 4.** Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  be an irreducible projective algebraic set of dimension  $d$ .

**Sub-question 4(a).** Let

$$\Sigma = \{(p, q, r) \in V \times V \times \mathbb{P}^n : p \neq q \text{ and } r \in L_{pq}\}$$

and let  $\overline{\Sigma}$  denote the Zariski closure of  $\Sigma$ . You may assume that  $\overline{\Sigma}$  is irreducible, and that

$$\overline{\Sigma} \cap \{(p, q, r) \in V \times V \times \mathbb{P}^n : p = q\} = \Sigma.$$

Prove that the projection  $\pi_{1,2}: \overline{\Sigma} \rightarrow V \times V$  (onto the first two factors) is surjective.

Consider some pair  $(p, q) \in V \times V$  with  $p \neq q$ . Then  $q \in L_{pq}$  so  $(p, q, q) \in \Sigma$ . Thus  $(p, q) \in \pi_{1,2}(\Sigma) \subseteq \pi_{1,2}(\overline{\Sigma})$ .

What about the diagonal in  $V \times V$ ?  $\overline{\Sigma}$  is a closed subset of  $V \times V \times \mathbb{P}^n$  (by construction) and therefore a projective algebraic set. Hence by completeness,  $\pi_{1,2}(\overline{\Sigma})$  is a closed subset of  $V \times V$ . But the complement of the diagonal is a non-empty open subset of  $V \times V$ , which is irreducible, so it is dense in  $V \times V$ . Thus we have shown that  $\pi_{1,2}(\overline{\Sigma})$  is a closed subset of  $V \times V$  which contains a dense subset, so it must be all of  $V \times V$ .

**Sub-question 4(b).** By applying the fibre dimension theorem to the projection  $\pi_{1,2}: \overline{\Sigma} \rightarrow V \times V$ , show that  $\dim \overline{\Sigma} \leq 2d + 1$ .

By part (a),  $\pi_{1,2}: \bar{\Sigma} \rightarrow V \times V$  is surjective. According to the question, we may assume that  $\bar{\Sigma}$  is irreducible. Hence we can apply the fibre dimension theorem: for every  $(p, q) \in V \times V$ , we have

$$\dim \pi_{1,2}^{-1}(p, q) \geq \dim \bar{\Sigma} - \dim(V \times V).$$

Now  $\dim(V \times V) = 2d$  so this becomes

$$\dim \bar{\Sigma} \leq 2d + \dim \pi_{1,2}^{-1}(p, q).$$

For any  $(p, q) \in V \times V$  such that  $p \neq q$ , the fibre  $\pi_{1,2}^{-1}(p, q)$  is

$$(\{p\} \times \{q\} \times \mathbb{P}^n) \cap \bar{\Sigma} = (\{p\} \times \{q\} \times \mathbb{P}^n) \cap \Sigma = \{p\} \times \{q\} \times L_{pq}$$

(where the first equality is an assumption from the question). Hence  $\dim \pi_{1,2}^{-1}(p, q) = \dim L_{pq} = 1$ .

Substituting this in (\*), along with  $\dim(V \times V) = 2d$ , we get

$$\dim \bar{\Sigma} \leq 2d + 1.$$

(In fact, we have shown that  $\dim \pi_{1,2}^{-1}(p, q) = 1$  for all  $(p, q)$  in a dense subset of  $V \times V$ , so we could use the second part of the fibre dimension theorem to conclude that  $\dim \bar{\Sigma} = 2d + 1$ .)

**Sub-question 4(c).** *Let*

$$S = \bigcup_{\substack{(p,q) \in V \times V \\ p \neq q}} L_{pq}$$

and let  $\pi_3$  denote the projection onto the last factor  $\bar{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ . Prove that  $\pi_3(\Sigma) = S$  and deduce that  $S$  is contained in a closed subset of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of dimension at most  $2d + 1$ .

If  $(p, q, r) \in \Sigma$ , then  $r \in L_{pq}$  with  $p, q \in V \times V$  and  $p \neq q$ , so  $r = \pi_3(p, q, r) \in S$ . Conversely, if  $r \in S$ , then there exists  $(p, q) \in V \times V$  such that  $p \neq q$  and  $r \in L_{pq}$ . Thus  $(p, q, r) \in \Sigma$  so  $r \in \pi_3(\Sigma)$ .

Thus  $S = \pi_3(\Sigma) \subseteq \pi_3(\bar{\Sigma})$ , where  $\pi_3(\bar{\Sigma})$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  by completeness.

By fact (1) from Lecture 20,  $\dim \pi_3(\bar{\Sigma}) \leq \dim \Sigma$ . Using part (b) gives  $\dim \pi_3(\bar{\Sigma}) \leq 2d + 1$ .

Alternatively, we could use the fibre dimension theorem: According to the question, we are assuming that  $\bar{\Sigma}$  is irreducible. Hence by the fibre dimension theorem, for  $r$  in some non-empty open subset of  $\pi_3(\bar{\Sigma})$ , we have

$$\dim \pi_3^{-1}(r) = \dim \bar{\Sigma} - \dim \pi_3(\bar{\Sigma}).$$

But  $\dim \pi_3^{-1}(r) \geq 0$ , so this implies that  $\dim \Sigma - \dim \pi_3(\bar{\Sigma}) \geq 0$ . Again we conclude using part (b).

**Sub-question 4(d).** *Deduce that if  $2d + 1 < n$ , then there exists a point  $r \in \mathbb{P}^n$  such that every line through  $r$  intersects  $V$  in at most one point.*

By part (c), if  $2d + 1 < n$ , then  $S$  is contained in a closed subset of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . In particular,  $S \neq \mathbb{P}^n$  so we can pick  $r \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus S$ .

Consider any line  $L$  through  $r$ . If  $L \cap V$  has more than one point, we can pick distinct  $p, q \in V$ . Because two points suffice to determine a line,  $L = L_{pq}$  and so  $r \in L_{pq}$ . This contradicts the fact that  $r \notin S$ . So  $L \cap V$  contains at most one point.