m3a22 soln7.tex

SOLUTIONS 7. 4.12.2015

Q1. Vega for calls. With $\phi(x) := e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}/\sqrt{2\pi}$, $\Phi(x) := \int_{-\infty}^x \phi(u) du$ the standard normal density and distribution functions, $\tau := T - t$ the time to expiry, the Black-Scholes call price is

$$C_t := S_t \Phi(d_1) - K e^{-r(T-t)} \Phi(d_2),$$
 (BS)

$$d_{1} := \frac{\log(S/K) + (r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2})\tau}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}}, \qquad d_{2} := \frac{\log(S/K) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2})\tau}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}} = d_{1} - \sigma\sqrt{\tau}:$$

$$\phi(d_{2}) = \phi(d_{1} - \sigma\sqrt{\tau}) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(d_{1} - \sigma\sqrt{\tau})^{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}d_{1}^{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{d_{1}\sigma\sqrt{\tau}} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}\tau}:$$

$$\phi(d_{2}) = \phi(d_{1}) \cdot e^{d_{1}\sigma\sqrt{\tau}} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}\tau}.$$

Exponentiating the definition of d_1 ,

$$e^{d_1\sigma\sqrt{\tau}} = (S/K).e^{r\tau}.e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\tau}.$$

Combining,

$$\phi(d_2) = \phi(d_1) \cdot (S/K) \cdot e^{r\tau} : \qquad K e^{-r\tau} \phi(d_2) = S \phi(d_1) \cdot (*)$$

Differentiating (BS) partially w.r.t. σ gives

$$v := \partial C / \partial \sigma = S\phi(d_1)\partial d_1 / \partial \sigma - K e^{-r\tau} \phi(d_2)\partial d_2 / \partial \sigma.$$

So by (*),

$$v := \partial C / \partial \sigma = S\phi(d_1)\partial(d_1 - d_2) / \partial \sigma = S\phi(d_1)\partial(\sigma\sqrt{\tau}) / \partial \sigma = S\phi(d_1)\sqrt{\tau} > 0.$$

Vega for puts.

The same argument gives $v := \partial P / \partial \sigma > 0$, starting with the Black-Scholes formula for puts. Equivalently, we can use put-call parity

$$S + P - C = Ke^{-r\tau}$$
: $\partial P/\partial \sigma = \partial C/\partial \sigma > 0.$

Interpretation: "Options like volatility": the more uncertainty, i.e. the higher the volatility, the more the "insurance policy" of an option is worth. So vega

is positive for positions *long* in the option – but negative for *short* positions.

Q2.(i) Delta for calls.

$$\Delta := \frac{\partial C}{\partial S} = \frac{\partial}{\partial S} [S\Phi(d_1) - Ke^{-r\tau}\Phi(d_2)]$$

= $\Phi(d_1) + S\phi(d_1)\frac{\partial d_1}{\partial S} - Ke^{-r\tau}\phi(d_2)\frac{\partial d_2}{\partial S}$
= $\Phi(d_1) + S\phi(d_1)\frac{\partial (d_1 - d_2)}{\partial S},$

by Q1 (*). Since $d_1 - d_2 = \sigma \sqrt{\tau}$ does not depend on S, this gives

$$\Delta = \Phi(d_1) \in (0, 1).$$

Interpretation: the payoff $(S - K)_+$ is increasing in S, so the option price should be also – and it is: $\Delta > 0$.

Also, $\Delta < 1$: options are to insure against adverse price movements. This reflects that options are useful for this: if Δ were ≥ 1 , there would be no advantage in using options to hedge – we would just use a combination of cash and stock.

(ii) Delta for puts. Now put-call parity

$$S + P - C = Ke^{-r\tau}$$

and (i) give

$$\partial P/\partial S = \partial C/\partial S - 1 \in (-1, 0).$$

Interpretation: now the payoff $(K - S)_+$ is decreasing in S, so the option price should be also – and it is. That $\Delta > -1$ reflects that options are useful for insuring against adverse price movements (as above): if Δ were ≤ -1 , we would just use a combination of cash and stock.

Q3. Vega for American options.

The discounted value of an American option is the Snell envelope $\tilde{U}_{n-1} = \max(\tilde{Z}_{n-1}, E^*[\tilde{U}_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}])$ of the discounted payoff \tilde{Z}_n (exercised early at time n < N), with terminal condition $U_N = Z_N$, $\tilde{U}_N = \tilde{Z}_N$. As σ increases, the Zs increase (Q1: vega is positive for European options). As the Zs increase, the Us increase (above: backward induction on n - DP, as usual for American options). Combining: as σ increases, the Us increase. So vega is also positive for American options. // NHB