m3a22l7.tex Lecture 8. 28.10.2014

 L_p spaces.

For $p \geq 1$, the L_p spaces $L_p(\mathbb{R}^k)$ on \mathbb{R}^k are the spaces of measurable functions f with L_p -norm

$$||f||_p := \left(\int |f|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Riemann integrals.

Our first exposure to integration is the 'Sixth-Form integral', taught nonrigorously at school. Mathematics undergraduates are taught a rigorous integral (in their first or second years), the *Riemann integral* [G.B. RIEMANN (1826-1866)] – essentially this is just a rigourization of the school integral. It is much easier to set up than the Lebesgue integral, but much harder to manipulate.

For finite intervals [a, b], we quote:

(i) for any function f Riemann-integrable on [a, b], it is Lebesgue-integrable to the same value (but many more functions are Lebesgue integrable),

(ii) f is Riemann-integrable on [a, b] iff it is continuous a.e. on [a, b]. Thus the question, "Which functions are Riemann-integrable?" cannot be answered without the language of measure theory – which then gives one the technically superior Lebesgue integral anyway.

Note. Integration is like summation (which is why Leibniz gave us the integral sign \int , as an elongated S). Lebesgue was a very practical man – his father was a tradesman – and used to think about integration in the following way. Think of a shopkeeper totalling up his day's takings. The Riemann integral is like adding up the takings – notes and coins – *in the order in which they arrived*. By contrast, the Lebesgue integral is like totalling up the takings *in order of size* - from the smallest coins up to the largest notes. This is obviously better! In mathematical effect, it exchanges 'integrating by *x*-values' (abscissae) with 'integrating by *y*-values (ordinates).

Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral.

Suppose that F(x) is a *non-decreasing* function on \mathbb{R} :

$$F(x) \le F(x)$$
 if $x \le y$

(prime example: F a probability distribution function). Such functions can have at most countably many discontinuities, which are at worst jumps. We may without loss re-define F at jumps so as to be *right-continuous*.

We now generalise the starting points above:

(i) Measure. We take $\mu((a, b]) := F(b) - F(a)$.

(ii) Integral. We take $\int_a^b 1 := F(b) - F(a)$.

We may now follow through the successive extension procedures used above. We obtain:

(i) Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure μ, or μ_F,
(ii) Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral ∫ f dμ, or ∫ f dμ_F, or even ∫ f dF.
Similarly in higher dimensions; we omit further details.
Finite variation.

If instead of being monotone non-decreasing, F is the *difference* of two such functions, $F = F_1 - F_2$, we can define the integrals $\int f \, dF_1$, $\int f \, dF_2$ as above, and then define

$$\int f \, dF = \int f \, d(F_1 - F_2) := \int f \, dF_1 - \int f \, dF_2$$

If [a, b] is a finite interval and F is defined on [a, b], a finite collection of points, x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n with $a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b$, is called a *partition* of $[a, b], \mathcal{P}$ say. The sum $\sum_{i=1}^n |F(x_i - F(x_{i-1}))|$ is called the *variation* of F over the partition. The least upper bound of this over all partitions \mathcal{P} is called the *variation* of F over the interval $[a, b], V_a^b(F)$:

$$V_a^b(F) := \sup_{\mathcal{P}} \sum |F(x_i) - F(x_{i-1})|.$$

This may be $+\infty$; but if $V_a^b(F) < \infty$, F is said to be of *finite variation* on [a, b], $F \in FV_a^b$ (bounded variation, BV, is also used). If F is of finite variation on all finite intervals, F is said to be *locally of finite variation*, $F \in FV_{loc}$; if F is of finite variation on the real line, F is of *finite variation*, $F \in FV_{loc}$.

We quote (*Jordan's theorem*) that the following are equivalent:

(i) F is locally of finite variation,

(ii) F can be written as the difference $F = F_1 - F_2$ of two monotone functions.

So the above procedure defines the integral $\int f \, dF$ when the *integrator* F is of *finite variation*.

3 Probability.

Probability spaces.

The mathematical theory of probability can be traced to 1654, to correspondence between PASCAL (1623-1662) and FERMAT (1601-1665). However, the theory remained both incomplete and non-rigorous till the 20th century. It turns out that the Lebesgue theory of measure and integral sketched above is exactly the machinery needed to construct a rigorous theory of probability adequate for modelling reality (option pricing, etc.) for us. This was realised by the great Russian mathematician and probabilist A.N.KOLMOGOROV (1903-1987), whose classic book of 1933, *Grundbegriffe der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung* [Foundations of probability theory] inaugurated the modern era in probability.

Recall from your first course on probability that, to describe a random experiment mathematically, we begin with the sample space Ω , the set of all possible outcomes. Each point ω of Ω , or sample point, represents a possible – random – outcome of performing the random experiment. For a set $A \subseteq \Omega$ of points ω we want to know the probability P(A) (or Pr(A), pr(A)). We clearly want

- 1. $P(\emptyset) = 0, P(\Omega) = 1,$
- 2. $P(A) \ge 0$ for all A,

3. If A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n are disjoint, $P(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i)$ (finite additivity), which, as above we will strengthen to 3^* . If $A_1, A_2 \ldots$ (ad inf.) are disjoint,

$$P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) \quad \text{(countable additivity)}.$$

4. If $B \subseteq A$ and P(A) = 0, then P(B) = 0 (completeness). Then by 1 and 3 (with $A = A_1$, $\Omega \setminus A = A_2$),

$$P(A^c) = P(\Omega \setminus A) = 1 - P(A).$$

So the class \mathcal{F} of subsets of Ω whose probabilities P(A) are defined should be closed under countable, disjoint unions and complements, and contain the empty set \emptyset and the whole space Ω . Such a class is called a σ -field of subsets of Ω [or sometimes a σ -algebra, which one would write \mathcal{A}]. For each $A \in \mathcal{F}$, P(A) should be defined (and satisfy 1, 2, 3*, 4 above). So, $P : \mathcal{F} \to [0, 1]$ is a set-function,

$$P: A \mapsto P(A) \in [0, 1] \quad (A \in \mathcal{F}).$$

The sets $A \in \mathcal{F}$ are called *events*. Finally, 4 says that all subsets of null-sets (events) with probability zero (we will call the empty set \emptyset empty, not null) should be null-sets (completeness). A *probability space*, or *Kolmogorov triple*, is a triple (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) satisfying these *Kolmogorov axioms* 1,2,3*,4 above. A probability space is a mathematical model of a random experiment. *Random variables*.

Next, recall random variables X from your first probability course. Given a random outcome ω , you can calculate the value $X(\omega)$ of X (a scalar – a real number, say; similarly for vector-valued random variables, or random vectors). So, X is a function from Ω to $\mathbb{R}, X \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$X: \omega \to X(\omega) \quad (\omega \in \Omega).$$

Recall also that the *distribution function* of X is defined by

$$F(x)$$
, or $F_X(x)$, := $P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \le x\})$, or $P(X \le x)$, $(x \in \mathbb{R})$.

We can only deal with functions X for which all these probabilities are defined. So, for each x, we need $\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq x\} \in \mathcal{F}$. We summarize this by saying that X is *measurable* with respect to the σ -field \mathcal{F} (of events), briefly, X is \mathcal{F} -measurable. Then, X is called a random variable [non- \mathcal{F} -measurable X cannot be handled, and so are left out]. So,

(i) a random variable X is an \mathcal{F} -measurable function on Ω ,

(ii) a function on Ω is a random variable (is measurable) iff its distribution function is defined.

Generated σ -fields.

The smallest σ -field containing all the sets $\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq x\}$ for all real x [equivalently, $\{X < x\}, \{X \geq x\}, \{X > X\}$] is called the σ -field generated by X, written $\sigma(X)$. Thus,

X is \mathcal{F} -measurable [is a random variable] iff $\sigma(X) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$.

When the (random) value $X(\omega)$ is *known*, we know *which* of the events in the σ -field generated by X have happened: these are the events { $\omega : X(\omega) \in B$ }, where B runs through the Borel σ -field [the σ -field generated by the intervals] on the line.