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## Chapter II. PROBABILITY BACKGROUND.

## 1. Measure

The language of option pricing involves that of probability, which in turn involves that of measure theory. This originated with Henri LEBESGUE (1875-1941), in his 1902 thesis, 'Intégrale, longueur, aire'. We begin with the simplest case.
Length. The length $\mu(I)$ of an interval $I=(a, b),[a, b],[a, b)$ or $(a, b]$ should be $b-a: \mu(I)=b-a$. The length of the disjoint union $I=\bigcup_{r=1}^{n} I_{r}$ of intervals $I_{r}$ should be the sum of their lengths:

$$
\mu\left(\bigcup_{r=1}^{n} I_{r}\right)=\sum_{r=1}^{n} \mu\left(I_{r}\right) \quad \text { (finite additivity). }
$$

Consider now an infinite sequence $I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots$ (ad infinitum) of disjoint intervals. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ suggests that length should again be additive over disjoint intervals:

$$
\mu\left(\bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} I_{r}\right)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(I_{r}\right) \quad \text { (countable additivity). }
$$

For $I$ an interval, $A$ a subset of length $\mu(A)$, the length of the complement $I \backslash A:=I \cap A^{c}$ of $A$ in $I$ should be

$$
\mu(I \backslash A)=\mu(I)-\mu(A) \quad \text { (complementation) }
$$

If $A \subseteq B$ and $B$ has length $\mu(B)=0$, then $A$ should have length 0 also:

$$
A \subseteq B \& \mu(B)=0 \Rightarrow \mu(A)=0 \quad \text { (completeness). }
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the smallest class of sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ containing the intervals, closed under countable disjoint unions and complements, and complete (containing all subsets of sets of length 0 as sets of length 0 ). The above suggests - what Lebesgue showed - that length can be sensibly defined on the sets $\mathcal{F}$ on the line, but on no others. There are others - but they are hard to construct (in technical language: the Axiom of Choice, or some variant of it such as Zorn's

Lemma, is needed to demonstrate the existence of non-measurable sets - but all such proofs are highly non-constructive). So: some but not all subsets of the line have a length. These are called the Lebesgue-measurable sets, and form the class $\mathcal{F}$ described above; length, defined on $\mathcal{F}$ is called Lebesgue measure $\mu$ (on the real line, $\mathbb{R}$ ).
Area. The area of a rectangle $R=\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \times\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)-$ with or without any of its perimeter included - should be $\mu(R)=\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) \times\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right)$. The area of a finite or countably infinite union of disjoint rectangles should be the sum of their areas:

$$
\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R_{n}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(R_{n}\right) \quad \text { (countable additivity). }
$$

If $R$ is a rectangle and $A \subseteq R$ with area $\mu(A)$, the area of the complement $R \backslash A$ should be

$$
\mu(R \backslash A)=\mu(R)-\mu(A) \quad \text { (complementation) }
$$

If $B \subseteq A$ and $A$ has area $0, B$ should have area 0 :

$$
A \subseteq B \& \mu(B)=0 \Rightarrow \mu(A)=0 \quad \text { (completeness) }
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the smallest class of sets, containing the rectangles, closed under finite or countably infinite unions, closed under complements, and complete (containing all subsets of sets of area 0 as sets of area 0 ). Lebesgue showed that area can be sensibly defined on the sets in $\mathcal{F}$ and no others. The sets $A \in \mathcal{F}$ are called the Lebesgue-measurable sets in the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$; area, defined on $\mathcal{F}$, is called Lebesgue measure in the plane. So: some but not all sets in the plane have an area.
Volume. Similarly in three-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, starting with the volume of a cuboid $C=\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \times\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right) \times\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right)$ as

$$
\mu(C)=\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) \cdot\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right) \cdot\left(b_{3}-a_{3}\right) .
$$

Euclidean space. Similarly in $k$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{k}$. We start with

$$
\mu\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)\right.
$$

and obtain the class $\mathcal{F}$ of Lebesgue-measurable sets in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$, and Lebesgue measure $\mu$ in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$.

## Probability.

The unit cube $[0,1]^{k}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ has Lebesgue measure 1 . It can be used to model the uniform distribution (density $f(x)=1$ if $\mathbf{x} \in[0,1]^{k}, 0$ otherwise), with probability $=$ length/area/volume if $k=1 / 2 / 3$.
Note. If a property holds everywhere except on a set of measure zero, we say it holds almost everywhere (a.e.) [French: presque partout, p.p.; German: fast überall, f.u.]. If it holds everywhere except on a set of probability zero, we say it holds almost surely (a.s.) [or, with probability one].

## 2 Integral.

1. Indicators. We start in dimension $k=1$ for simplicity, and consider the simplest calculus formula $\int_{a}^{b} 1 d x=b-a$. We rewrite this as

$$
I(f):=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) d x=b-a \quad \text { if } f(x)=I_{[a, b)}(x),
$$

the indicator function of $[a, b]$ ( 1 in $[a, b], 0$ outside it), and similarly for the other three choices about end-points.
2. Simple functions. A function $f$ is called simple if it is a finite linear combination of indicators: $f=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} f_{i}$ for constants $c_{i}$ and indicator functions $f_{i}$ of intervals $I_{i}$. One then extends the definition of the integral from indicator functions to simple functions by linearity:

$$
I\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} f_{i}\right):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} I\left(f_{i}\right)
$$

for constants $c_{i}$ and indicators $f_{i}$ of intervals $I_{i}$.
3. Non-negative measurable functions. Call $f$ a (Lebesgue-) measurable function if, for all $c$, the sets $\{x: f(x) \leq c\}$ is a Lebesgue-measurable set (§1). If $f$ is a non-negative measurable function, we quote that it is possible to construct $f$ as the increasing limit of a sequence of simple functions $f_{n}$ :

$$
f_{n}(x) \uparrow f(x) \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \quad(n \rightarrow \infty), \quad f_{n} \text { simple. }
$$

We then define the integral of $f$ as

$$
I(f):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I\left(f_{n}\right)(\leq \infty)
$$

(we quote that this does indeed define $I(f)$ : the value does not depend on which approximating sequence ( $f_{n}$ ) we use). Since $f_{n}$ increases in $n$, so does
$I\left(f_{n}\right)$ (the integral is order-preserving), so either $I\left(f_{n}\right)$ increases to a finite limit, or diverges to $\infty$. In the first case, we say $f$ is (Lebesgue-) integrable with (Lebesgue-) integral $I(f)=\lim I\left(f_{n}\right)$, or $\int f(x) d x=\lim \int f_{n}(x) d x$, or simply $\int f=\lim \int f_{n}$.
4. Measurable functions. If $f$ is a measurable function that may change sign, we split it into its positive and negative parts, $f_{ \pm}$:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{+}(x):=\max (f(x), 0), & f_{-}(x):=-\min (f(x), 0), \\
f(x)=f_{+}(x)-f_{-}(x), & |f(x)|=f_{+}(x)+f_{-}(x)
\end{array}
$$

If both $f_{+}$and $f_{-}$are integrable, we say that $f$ is too, and define

$$
\int f:=\int f_{+}-\int f_{-} .
$$

Then, in particular, $|f|$ is also integrable, and

$$
\int|f|=\int f_{+}+\int f_{-} .
$$

Note. The Lebesgue integral is, by construction, an absolute integral: $f$ is integrable iff $|f|$ is integrable. Thus, for instance, the well-known formula

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} d x=\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

has no meaning for Lebesgue integrals, since $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{|\sin x|}{x} d x$ diverges to $+\infty$ like $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} d x$. It has to be replaced by the limit relation

$$
\int_{0}^{X} \frac{\sin x}{x} d x \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2} \quad(X \rightarrow \infty)
$$

The class of (Lebesgue-) integrable functions $f$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is written $L(\mathbb{R})$ or (for reasons explained below) $L_{1}(\mathbb{R})$ - abbreviated to $L_{1}$ or $L$.
Higher dimensions. In $\mathbb{R}^{k}$, we start instead from $k$-dimensional boxes. If $f$ is the indicator of a box $B=\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[a_{k}, b_{k}\right], \int f:=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)$. We then extend to simple functions by linearity, to non-negative measurable functions by taking increasing limits, and to measurable functions by splitting into positive and negative parts.

