

**Lecture 25 8.12.2014***Approximation (continued).*

It is not possible to include detailed proofs of these assertions in a course of this type [recall that we did not construct the measure-theoretic integral of Ch. II in detail either - and this is harder!]. The key technical ingredient needed is the *Kunita-Watanabe inequalities*. See e.g. [KS], §§3.1-2.

One can define stochastic integration in much greater generality.

1. *Integrands*. The natural class of integrands  $X$  to use here is the class of *predictable* processes. These include the left-continuous processes to which we confine ourselves above.

2. *Integrators*. One can construct a closely analogous theory for stochastic integrals with the Brownian integrator  $B$  above replaced by a *continuous local martingale* integrator  $M$  (or more generally by a *local martingale*: see below). The properties above hold, with  $D$  replaced by

$$E[(\int_0^t X_u dM_u)^2] = E \int_0^t X_u^2 d\langle M \rangle_u.$$

See e.g. [KS], [RY] for details.

One can generalise further to *semimartingale* integrators: these are processes expressible as the sum of a local martingale and a process of (locally) finite variation. Now  $C$  is replaced by: stochastic integrals of local martingales are local martingales. See e.g. [RW1] or Meyer (1976) for details.

**§6. Stochastic Differential Equations (SDEs) and Itô's Lemma**

Suppose that  $U, V$  are adapted processes, with  $U$  locally integrable (so  $\int_0^t U_s ds$  is defined as an ordinary integral, as in Ch. II), and  $V$  is left-continuous with  $\int_0^t EV_u^2 du < \infty$  for all  $t$  (so  $\int_0^t V_s dB_s$  is defined as a stochastic integral, as in §5). Then

$$X_t := x_0 + \int_0^t U_s ds + \int_0^t V_s dB_s$$

defines a stochastic process  $X$  with  $X_0 = x_0$ . It is customary, and convenient, to express such an equation symbolically in differential form, in terms of the *stochastic differential equation*

$$dX_t = U_t dt + V_t dB_t, \quad X_0 = x_0. \quad (SDE)$$

Now suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function, continuously differentiable once in its first argument (which will denote time), and twice in its second argument (space):  $f \in C^{1,2}$ . The question arises of giving a meaning to the stochastic differential  $df(t, X_t)$  of the process  $f(t, X_t)$ , and finding it.

Recall the Taylor expansion of a smooth function of several variables,  $f(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d)$  say. We use suffices to denote partial derivatives:  $f_i := \partial f / \partial x_i$ ,  $f_{i,j} := \partial^2 f / \partial x_i \partial x_j$  (recall that if partials not only exist but are continuous, then the order of partial differentiation can be changed:  $f_{i,j} = f_{j,i}$ , etc.). Then for  $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d)$  near  $u$ ,

$$f(x) = f(u) + \sum_{i=0}^d (x_i - u_i) f_i(u) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^d (x_i - u_i)(x_j - u_j) f_{i,j}(u) + \dots$$

In our case (writing  $t_0$  in place of 0 for the starting time):

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, X_t) = & f(t_0, X(t_0)) + (t - t_0) f_1(t_0, X(t_0)) + (X(t) - X(t_0)) f_2 + \frac{1}{2} (t - t_0)^2 f_{11} + \\ & (t - t_0)(X(t) - X(t_0)) f_{12} + \frac{1}{2} (X(t) - X(t_0))^2 f_{22} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

which may be written symbolically as

$$df(t, X(t)) = f_1 dt + f_2 dX + \frac{1}{2} f_{11} (dt)^2 + f_{12} dt dX + \frac{1}{2} f_{22} (dX)^2 + \dots$$

In this, we

- (i) substitute  $dX_t = U_t dt + V_t dB_t$  from above,
- (ii) substitute  $(dB_t)^2 = dt$ , i.e.  $|dB_t| = \sqrt{dt}$ , from §4:

$$df = f_1 dt + f_2 (U dt + V dB) + \frac{1}{2} f_{11} (dt)^2 + f_{12} dt (U dt + V dB) + \frac{1}{2} f_{22} (U dt + V dB)^2 + \dots$$

Now using  $(dB)^2 = dt$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (U dt + V dB)^2 &= V^2 dt + 2UV dt dB + U^2 (dt)^2 \\ &= V^2 dt + \text{higher-order terms} : \end{aligned}$$

$$df = (f_1 + U f_2 + \frac{1}{2} V^2 f_{22}) dt + V f_2 dB + \text{higher-order terms}.$$

Summarising, we obtain *Itô's Lemma*, the analogue for the Itô or stochastic calculus of the chain rule for ordinary (Newton-Leibniz) calculus:

**Theorem (Itô's Lemma).** If  $X_t$  has stochastic differential

$$dX_t = U_t dt + V_t dB_t, \quad X_0 = x_0,$$

and  $f \in C^{1,2}$ , then  $f = f(t, X_t)$  has stochastic differential

$$df = (f_1 + U f_2 + \frac{1}{2} V^2 f_{22}) dt + V f_2 dB_t.$$

That is, writing  $f_0$  for  $f(0, x_0)$ , the initial value of  $f$ ,

$$f(t, X_t) = f_0 + \int_0^t (f_1 + U f_2 + \frac{1}{2} V^2 f_{22}) dt + \int_0^t V f_2 dB.$$

This important result may be summarised as follows: use Taylor's theorem formally, together with the rule

$$(dt)^2 = 0, \quad dt dB = 0, \quad (dB)^2 = dt.$$

Itô's Lemma extends to higher dimensions, as does the rule above:

$$df = (f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d U_i f_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d V_i^2 f_{ii}) dt + \sum_{i=1}^d V_i f_i dB_i$$

(where  $U_i, V_i, B_i$  denote the  $i$ th coordinates of vectors  $U, V, B$ ,  $f_i, f_{ii}$  denote partials as above); here the formal rule is

$$(dt)^2 = 0, \quad dt dB_i = 0, \quad (dB_i)^2 = dt, \quad dB_i dB_j = 0 \quad (i \neq j).$$

**Corollary.**  $E f(t, X_t) = f_0 + \int_0^t E[f_1 + U f_2 + \frac{1}{2} V^2 f_{22}] dt.$

*Proof.*  $\int_0^t V f_2 dB$  is a stochastic integral, so a martingale, so its expectation is constant ( $= 0$ , as it starts at 0). //

*Note.* Powerful as it is in the setting above, Itô's Lemma really comes into its own in the more general setting of semimartingales. It says there that if  $X$  is a semimartingale and  $f$  is a smooth function as above, then  $f(t, X(t))$  is also a semimartingale. The ordinary differential  $dt$  gives rise to the bounded-variation part, the stochastic differential gives rise to the martingale part. This closure property under very general non-linear operations is very powerful and important.

*Example: The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Process.*

The most important example of a SDE for us is that for geometric Brownian motion (VI.1 below). We close here with another example.

Consider now a model of the velocity  $V_t$  of a particle at time  $t$  ( $V_0 = v_0$ ), moving through a fluid or gas, which exerts

- (i) a frictional drag, assumed proportional to the velocity,
- (ii) a noise term resulting from the random bombardment of the particle by the molecules of the surrounding fluid or gas. The basic model is the SDE

$$dV = -\beta V dt + c dB, \quad (OU)$$

whose solution is called the *Ornstein-Uhlenbeck* (velocity) process with *relaxation time*  $1/\beta$  and *diffusion coefficient*  $D := \frac{1}{2}c^2/\beta^2$ . It is a stationary Gaussian Markov process (not stationary-increments Gaussian Markov like Brownian motion), whose limiting (ergodic) distribution is  $N(0, \beta D)$  and whose limiting correlation function is  $e^{-\beta|\cdot|}$ .

If we integrate the OU velocity process to get the OU *displacement process*, we lose the Markov property (though the process is still Gaussian). Being non-Markov, the resulting process is much more difficult to analyse.

The OU process is the prototype of processes exhibiting *mean reversion*, or a *central push*: frictional drag acts as a restoring force tending to push the process back towards its mean. It is important in many areas, including

- (i) statistical mechanics, where it originated,
- (ii) mathematical finance, where it appears in the *Vasicek model* for the term-structure of interest-rates (the mean represents the ‘natural’ interest rate),
- (iii) *stochastic volatility* models, where the volatility  $\sigma$  itself is now a stochastic process  $\sigma_t$ , subject to an SDE of OU type.

*Theory of interest rates.*

This subject dominates the mathematics of *money market*, or *bond markets*. These are more important in today’s world than stock markets, but are more complicated, so we must be brief here. The area is crucially important in *macro-economic policy*, and in political decision-making, particularly after the financial crisis (“credit crunch”). Government policy is driven by fear of speculators in the bond markets (rather than aimed at inter-governmental cooperation against them). The mathematics is infinite-dimensional (at each time-point  $t$  we have a whole *yield curve* over future times), but reduces to finite-dimensionality: bonds are only offered at discrete times, with a *tenor* structure (a finite set of maturity times).